UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/771,583	02/04/2004	Kenwood Hall	03AB072/ALBRP330US	3801	
Susan M. Donal	7590 03/20/200 <b>hue</b>	EXAMINER			
	nation, 704-P, IP Dep	AHN, SANGWOO			
1201 South 2nd Street Milwaukee, WI 53204			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
,			2166		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			03/20/2008	PAPER	

## Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/771,583	HALL, KENWOOD	
Examiner	Art Unit	

	SANGWOO AHN	2166	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 21 February 2008 FAILS TO PLACE THIS	APPLICATION IN CONDITION FO	R ALLOWANCE.	
1.  The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following rapplication in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Apple for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods:	eplies: (1) an amendment, affidavit al (with appeal fee) in compliance v	, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adno event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (I MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth i ter than SIX MONTHS from the mailing b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	date of the final rejection	n.
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date of have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extrunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.13 ension and the corresponding amount on the properties of the petition of the petition of the petition of the petition with the petition of the petition with the petition with the petition with the petition with the petition of the petition with the petition with the petition of the petition with	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Offic	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compl filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed wi	sion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	
<ul> <li>3.  The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, be (a) They raise new issues that would require further core (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below (c) They are not deemed to place the application in bett appeal; and/or</li> <li>(d) They present additional claims without canceling a content of the proposed in the proposed in the present additional claims without canceling a content of the proposed in the present additional claims without canceling a content of the proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, be appeared to place the application in better the proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, be appeared to place the proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, be appeared to place the application in better the proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, be appeared to place the application in better the proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, be appeared to place the application in better the proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, be appeared to place the application in better the proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, be appeared to place the application in better the proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection to the proposed amendment the proposed amendment</li></ul>	sideration and/or search (see NOT v); er form for appeal by materially rec	E below); lucing or simplifying th	
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.174.  The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.125.  Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):  Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).	6 and 41.33(a)).  1. See attached Notice of Non-Cor	mpliant Amendment (I	,
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prove The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:  Claim(s) allowed:  Claim(s) objected to:  Claim(s) rejected: 1-3,5-20,22-25,27-29.  Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:		be entered and an ex	xplanation of
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>	sufficient reasons why the affidavi	t or other evidence is	necessary and
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to or showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	l and/or appellant fails	s to provide a
10. $\square$ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation	of the status of the claims after er	itry is below or attach	ed.
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER  11. ☐ The request for reconsideration has been considered but	does NOT place the application in	condition for allowan	ce because:
12. Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). (13. Other:	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)		
/Hosain T Alam/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2166			

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The new issues are "a mapping component that generates a database table(s) from ... tailored for the industrial device(s)" (claims 1, 10 and 23), "an arbiter component that facilitates access ... to the database tables" (claim 1) and "classifiers" (claims 10 and 29).